Comparison of Mesoscale Convective Systems During DYNAMO

Angela Rowe and Robert Houze, Jr.

University of Washington

INTRODUCTION TO DYNAMO

The goal of the DYNAmics of the MJO (DYNAMO) experiment (Oct 2011 – March 2012) was to improve simulation and prediction of the Madden-Julian Oscillation (MJO) by understanding the coupling between convection and the large-scale environment over the Indian Ocean. Instrument platforms included an extensive sounding array, air-sea measurements, research aircraft, and a radar network.



The radar network consisted of C- and W-band radars on each research vessel, and a radar "supersite" on Gan Island, including Ka- and W-band radars at the AMF2 site, a C-band radar (SMART-R), and an S-band (S-PolKa). The objective of the radar network was to fully characterize the ensemble of convection associated with each stage of MJO initiation. A variety of wavelengths were used to observe the entire cloud spectrum, and scanning strategies were designed to obtain statistics of the cloud population.

Goals of S-band:

- Observe the convective population and transition from shallow to deep (MCSs)
- Provide details on airflow within the storm
- Provide highly resolved hydrometeors information
- Provide high-quality precipitation estimates



CASE SELECTION





Increased rainfall and MCS activity during active MJO phases (late Oct., Nov., and Dec.) coinciding with periods of deep-layer moisture

One case from October, one from December (active periods characterized by different wind shear)





OCTOBER 23-24







Widespread stratiform with embedded convection in environment with weak low-level westerlies changing to easterlies aloft





Yamada et al. (2010), MISMO

DECEMBER 23-24







Several squall-line-type features lower stratiform fraction and increased, deeper westerlies



COMPARISON













SUMMARY/FUTURE WORK

-Bimodal distributions in echo-top height with broader distributions in Dec, shallower stratiform echo for Oct case, but deeper convection

-Greater column ice mass fraction for stratiform (Oct case), while convection in MCSs during the Dec case had greater fractions

-Greater column ice mass for convection (precipitation-sized ice), especially for Oct case, with greater ice mass in stratiform for Oct compared to Dec case (decaying convection)

-Greater heights with graupel/hail in convection during Dec case, with greater heights in stratiform for Oct (decaying convection)

***Analyze additional cases and relate to environment**

*** Describe evolution from non-precipitating to precipitating convection**

E-mail: akrowe@atmos.uw.edu

AGU, San Francisco, 3 December 2012

Funded by NSF Grant # AGS-1059611 and DOE Grant # DE-SC0008452